Constructing gapless spin liquid state for the spin-1/2 $J_1 - J_2$ Heisenberg model on a square lattice
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We construct a class of projected entangled pair states (PEPS) which is exactly the resonating valence bond (RVB) wavefunctions endowed with both short range and long range valence bonds. With an energetically preferred RVB pattern, the wavefunction is simplified to live in a one parameter variational space. We tune this variational parameter to minimize the energy for the frustrated spin 1/2 $J_1 - J_2$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model on the square lattice. Taking a cylindrical geometry, we are able to construct four topological sectors with even or odd number of fluxes penetrating the cylinder and even or odd number of spinons on the boundary. The energy splitting in different topological sectors is exponentially small with the cylinder perimeter. We find a power law decay of the dimer correlation function on a torus, and a $\ln L$ correction to the entanglement entropy, indicating a gapless spin liquid phase at the optimum parameter.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Kt,75.10.Jm

Introduction—Resonant valence bond (RVB) states, which were first proposed by Anderson [1] to describe a possible ground state for the $S = 1/2$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model on a triangular lattice, and later to explain the possible mechanism of high-$T_c$ cuprates [2–3], provide us a rich tool box to construct the so called spin liquid states. Rokhsar Kivelson (RK) wavefunction [4], which is an equal weight superposition of nearest neighbor (NN) dimer coverings, is a critical spin liquid state [5] on square lattice; whereas a gaped $Z_2$ spin liquid state on kagome and triangular lattice [6–7]. The equal weight superposition of the NN RVB state on square lattice was shown to be critical [8–9]. Several numerical work [10–13] have demonstrated that the equal weight NN RVB states on the kagome and triangular lattices are $Z_2$ spin liquid states.

Recently numerical breakthroughs claimed a spin liquid ground state for the Kagome Heisenberg model [14–15] and the frustrated spin 1/2 $J_1 - J_2$ antiferromagnetic (AF) Heisenberg model on the square lattice [16–17]. However, these work did not give direct access to the topological nature of the spin liquid states, therefore, a simple variational wavefunction approach is highly desirable. Although the variational energy of the NN RVB state on the kagome lattice [11,15] is still higher than the energy obtained via the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) method [14], the topological nature is well understood within the formalism of the projected entangled pair states (PEPSs) [11]. On the other hand, from a projective wavefunction [18] approach supplemented by a projective symmetry group (PSG) analysis all possible spin liquid states on the triangular [19], Kagome [19–22] and Honeycomb [23] lattices have been obtained and classified but, for all lattices, the energetically favorable states are believed to involve longer range RVB. As a result, it is natural to think that a general RVB state within the PEPS formalism is a more practical variational wavefunction, where one can gain simultaneously an optimized energy and a comprehensive picture of the topological nature.

In this paper, we introduce a general RVB state written as a $D = 3$ PEPS, different from Ref. [10,11], i.e. it includes valence bonds of all length (although with a bond amplitude decaying exponentially fast with the bond length). With a properly chosen singlet sign convention that meets all lattice symmetries on the square lattice, we minimize the energy of the spin 1/2 $J_1 - J_2$ AF Heisenberg model at $J_2 = 0.5J_1$ against a single variational parameter $c$ governing the decay amplitude of the long range valence bonds. The idea is therefore to introduce a simple yet competing wavefunction that enables us to fully understand the topological properties of the ground state of the frustrated magnets.

RVB states in PEPS formalism—The equal weight superposition of the NN RVB states can be constructed using a PEPS with bond dimension $D = 3$ as following: each physical site has 4 virtual spins attached, each of which spans a virtual dimension of spin $1/2 \oplus 0$. From the bond point of view, every pair of the NN virtual spins is projected to a block diagonal virtual spin singlet state:

$$|S\rangle = |01\rangle - |10\rangle + |22\rangle,$$

(1)
here the virtual indices “0,1” span the subspace of spin 1/2 and virtual index “2” spans the subspace of spin 0. At the physical site, a projector enforces one of the virtual spins with its spin 1/2 subspace to be mapped to the physical spin 1/2 state and the rest of virtual spins to stay in the spin 0 subspace, i.e. the “2” state,

$$P_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{4} (|\uparrow \rangle \langle 0|_k + |\downarrow \rangle \langle 1|_k) \otimes (222|/k, \quad (2)$$

here subscript “/k” stands for all except k. This PEPS, by contracting the virtual index of each $S$ at the bond and each $P_1$ at the vertex, represents exactly the equal weight NN RVB states.

To allow long distance singlet pairings, we need spins to teleportate: enforcing a singlet between site $i$ and $j$ that are already paired in singlets ($s_1, i$) and ($s_2, j$) will generate a singlet pair $(s_1, s_2)$. The following projector realizes spin teleportation without increasing the bond dimension,

$$P_2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l} (|\uparrow \rangle \langle 0|_i + |\downarrow \rangle \langle 1|_i) \otimes (2|j \rangle \langle \epsilon|kl, \quad (3)$$

here $|\epsilon|_{kl} = |01|_{kl} - |10|_{kl}$, and it forces spins connected via this site by bonds $k$ and $l$ into a singlet. A general RVB wavefunction is a parameter $c$ weighted combination of projectors $P \equiv P_1 + cP_2$ at each vertex $V \equiv \{v\}$ traced out with the bond singlets $S$ at each bond $B \equiv \{b\}$,

$$|\Psi\rangle_{RVB} = \prod_V P \prod_B |S\rangle, \quad (4)$$

The sign convention and symmetries – Fig. 1(a-d) enumerates 4 possible $P_1$ projectors and 8 $P_2$ projectors at each vertex. The bond singlet $S$ is chosen such that NN singlets point from sublattice A to B; the corner singlets, which plays the role of singlet teleportation, are oriented counter clockwise and preserve all lattice symmetries. The sign convention is demonstrated in Fig. 1(e).

The NNN singlet arises through two bonds singlets and one corner singlet, as in Fig. 2(a). However the weight of a diagonal singlet is comprised of two shortest paths of equal magnitude but opposite sign, thus the net weight of the diagonal singlet is zero. The only shortest path to build the next range AB sublattice singlet is shown in Fig. 2(b), and it consists of three bond singlets and two corners. The sign of the next range AB singlet is pointing from sublattice A to B. In general, no AA pairings survive (see Appendix) and all AB pairings point from sublattice A to B. To verify this result, we implement a Monte Carlo (MC) sampling of the singlet distribution of 1 and calculate the weight $h(dx, dy)$ defined in Ref. 18 as a function of separation. The result is presented in Fig. 2(c) and is consistent with the above analysis.

String picture – Since the RVB bonds only connect sites on different sublattices, we can view such a RVB state as a liquid state of oriented strings. Indeed, choosing a reference VB$_0$ configuration, any VB configuration can be viewed as a closed oriented string configuration: the RVB bonds in the VB configuration are regarded as a piece of the closed string pointing from the A to the B sublattice, while the RVB bonds in the reference VB$_0$ configuration are regarded as the complementary piece pointing from the B to the A sublattice.

If such a superposition of closed orientable string states indeed represent a liquid state of closed strings, then the entanglement entropy for a such a state in a region $A$ has the form $S_A = aL_A - \frac{1}{2}\ln L_A + b$, where $L_A$ is the length of the perimeter of region $A$. To understand such a result, we view a string as a flux line and the close-string condition implies that the flux is conserved. Therefore, the total flux going through the perimeter of $A$ is zero. If we had ignored the flux conservation and assumed that the flux could fluctuate freely and independently, then the entanglement entropy would have an exact area-law form $S_A = aL_A$ and the typical amount of flux through the perimeter would be proportional to $\sqrt{L_A}$. So if we restrict the amount of flux through the
However their superpositions, each other in the thermodynamic limit, and can be transposed with a relative sign are. A good reason for it is that the liquid state is the state corresponding to (4), and $|\Psi(\pm)\rangle$ states and the odd-flux ($|\Psi(-)\rangle$) states are orthogonal to $|\Psi(\pm)\rangle$ which is defined by the eigenvector with the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{max}$. The boundary parity quantum number $v$ is defined by the number of singlets modulo 2 crossed by a horizontal line to (4).

The variational energy for the spin 1/2 $J_1 - J_2$ AF Heisenberg model at $J_2 = 0.5J_1$ is $c = 0.35(1)$ with $N_v = 4, 6, 8$. To access larger system size, we study a complementary geometry where the cylinders are cut open, with the top and bottom virtual vertical spins set to “2”s. We call them the finite ($N_v$) width strips. For a contractible geometry as strips, the flux parity is no longer meaningful, but the boundary parity quantum number $G_v = e/o$ still holds. We simulate the leading eigenvectors of the transfer matrix of the strips by the matrix product states (MPS) with the same quantum number $G_v$ in both bra and ket. The ground state energies as a function of the variational parameter $c$ for both sectors $|\Psi(\pm)\rangle$ of the strips with $N_v = 10, \cdots, 18$ are presented in Fig. 4(b). The best variational energy for $J_2 = 0.5J_1$ is at $c = 0.35$, which is in good consistency with the case of finite perimeter cylinders.

The variational energies of $|\Psi(\pm)\rangle_{e/o}$ on cylinders with finite perimeter $N_v = 4, 6, 8$ ($N_v$ must be even, otherwise the system dimerizes) are computed exactly via the transfer matrix method and shown in Fig. 4(a) (an even or odd number of flux is chosen to provide the lowest energy) as a function of the variational parameter $c$. The best variational energy for the spin 1/2 $J_1 - J_2$ AF Heisenberg model at $J_2 = 0.5J_1$ is $c = 0.35(1)$ with $N_v = 4, 6, 8$. To access larger system size, we study a complementary geometry where the cylinders are cut open, with the top and bottom vertical virtual spins set to “2”s. We call them the finite ($N_v$) width strips. For a contractible geometry as strips, the flux parity is no longer meaningful, but the boundary parity quantum number $G_v = e/o$ still holds. We simulate the leading eigenvectors of the transfer matrix of the strips by the matrix product states (MPS) with the same quantum number $G_v$ in both bra and ket. The ground state energies as a function of the variational parameter $c$ for both sectors $|\Psi(\pm)\rangle$ of the strips with $N_v = 10, \cdots, 18$ are presented in Fig. 4(b). The best variational energy for $J_2 = 0.5J_1$ is at $c = 0.35$, which is in good consistency with the case of finite perimeter cylinders.

The variational energies of the even and odd sectors at the optimum parameter $c = 0.35$ as a function of inverse width $1/N_v$ are shown in Fig. 4(a,b), with cylinders of size $N_v = 4, 6, 8$ and strips up to $N_v = 36$. A linear regression is applied to the even sector of the strips and a thermodynamic limit of $E_\infty = -0.48612(2)$ is obtained. This energy is competing on the third decimal digit to the best variational estimate of $E_\infty = -0.4943(7)$ with a $D = 9$ PEPS [17], let alone the fact that here we vary only one variational parameter in a $D = 3$ PEPS. A conjecture about the ground state energies of the gapless and gaped spin liquid states is that the energy splittings between different topological sectors become exponentially small with the system size. This conjecture is verified in Fig. 5(c,d) presenting on semi-log scales the energy difference between all sectors and $E_\infty$ for cylinders and
The ground state energy splitting between the lowest energy sector and other sectors as a function of $N_v$ for (c) cylinders and (d) strips. The splitting vanishes exponentially with size $N_v$.

FIG. 6: The dimer (a) and the spin (b) correlation function for all topological sectors on a $N_v = 8$ cylinder at $c = 0.35$. The dimer (c) and the spin (d) correlation function on a torus with $L = 8, 16, 32, 64$ at $c = 0.35$. Note that different scales, log-log in (c) and semi-log otherwise, are used.

**Conclusion and outlook** – We constructed a class of projected entangled pair states which exactly represent general RVB wavefunctions with all bond length contributions. Upon choosing an energetically preferred RVB pattern, we are able to build a one-parameter manifold of variational RVB $D = 3$ PEPSs which preserve all lattice symmetries. Minimization of the variational energy for the frustrated spin $1/2 J_1 - J_2$ Antiferromagnetic (AF) Heisenberg model on the square lattice yields, at $J_2 = 0.5J_1$, an energy $E_{\infty} = -0.48612(2)$ per site in the thermodynamic limit. In the case of a cylinder geometry, four orthogonal topological states were identified, namely the even-flux and odd-flux states with even and odd number of spinons on the boundary. We found the dimer correlation function decays algebraically while the spin correlation function still decays exponentially. The entanglement entropy scaling reveals $\ln L$ correction to the area law. Both features point towards the gapless spin liquid nature of our constructed RVB wavefunction.
a single negative pairing magnitude \( h(2, 1) \), however our PEPS wavefunction constructed to meet a negative \( h(2, 1) \) condition does not gain an optimized energy except on a very small \( 4 \times 4 \) torus.

The PEPSs construction of the general RVB states can be applied to other bipartite and non-bipartite lattices, where the Schwinger boson spin liquid states under the projective symmetry group (PSG) analysis have been found, but for which thermodynamic energies and correlation functions are still unknown due to a negative sign problem in the valence bond MC simulations. Within the PEPS formalism all of these can be easily studied. Our PEPS construction of the RVB states can be further generalized to accommodate more complicated pairing pattern which can improve further the ground state energy although possibly requiring a larger bond dimension.

Acknowledgment — We would also like to thank N. Schuch, I. Cirac, D. Perez-Garcia and O. Motrunich for stimulating discussions. This project is supported by the EU Strep project QUEVADIS, the ERC grant QUERG, the FWF SFB grants FoQuS and ViCoM, and the NQPTP ANR-0406-01 grant (French Research Council). XGW is supported by NSF Grant No. DMR-1005541, NSFC 11074140, and NSFC 11274192. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research. The computational results presented have been achieved using the Vienna Scientific Cluster (VSC) and the CALMIP Hyperion Cluster (Toulouse).

FIG. 8: (Color online) For any teleportation path contributing to the $AA$ ($BB$) pairing, there always exists a dual teleportation path with opposite sign. Therefore the $AA$ ($BB$) pairing amplitudes are exactly zero in $|\Psi\rangle_{RVB}$.
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Supplementary material

$|\Psi\rangle_{RVB}$ has no $AA$ ($BB$) pairing

In the main text, we have illustrated why the leading order contribution of NNN pairing vanishes in $|\Psi\rangle_{RVB}$. Here we would like to show that, indeed, all the $AA$ ($BB$) pairing vanish in $|\Psi\rangle_{RVB}$ exactly up to any order in $c$. As explained in the text, the pairing amplitude between two sites $i$ and $j$ is given by the sum of all teleportation paths that connect $i$ and $j$. As seen in Fig. 8 the solid red line $a$ is a typical teleportation path that contributes to the pairing ($ij$). According to the local rule of the teleportation path (each path must turn at a vertex), it is not hard to see that any teleportation path contributing to the $AA$ ($BB$) pairing must go through an odd number of corners and an even number of links. On the other hand, for a given teleportation path $a$, we can always find a dual teleportation path $b$ which goes though the same number of corners and links. For any pair of dual $a$ and $b$ paths, the sign contribution from all the links (corners) are the same (opposite). Therefore, the total contribution from the pair of teleportation paths $a$ and $b$ always vanishes. Thus, we have proved that $|\Psi\rangle_{RVB}$ has no $AA$ ($BB$) pairing.

Finite size extrapolation of the optimum parameter $c$

We provide here a more accurate determination of the optimum parameter $c$. For this purpose, we use a quadratic function to fit the even sector ($|\Psi\rangle_e$) energy of a finite width ($N_v$) strip as a function of parameter $c$ (around the minimum), and we extract the optimum parameter $c_{opt}(N_v)$ and the minimum energy $E_{min}(N_v)$ for $N_v = 10, \cdots, 24$. The results are presented in Fig. 9(a). Taking the optimum parameter $c_{opt}(N_v)$ and extrapolating it to the thermodynamic limit as a function of inverse width $1/N_v$, we find $c_{opt}(\infty) = 0.356(1)$ (see Fig. 9(b)). Again, taking the minimum finite width energy $E_{min}(N_v)$ and extrapolating it to the thermodynamic limit as a function of $1/N_v$, we obtain a thermodynamic energy $E_{\infty} = -0.48620(1)$ (see Fig. 9(c)).
FIG. 9: (Color online) (a) A quadratic fit of the even sector energy $E(N_v)$ of a strip as a function of $c$ around its minimum with $N_v = 10, \cdots, 24$. (b) Optimum parameter $c_{\text{opt}}(N_v)$ plotted as a function of $1/N_v$. A linear regression gives $c_{\text{opt}}(\infty) = 0.356(1)$. (c) Minimum energy $E_{\text{min}}(N_v)$ plotted as a function of $1/N_v$. A linear regression gives $E(\infty) = -0.48620(1)$. 